

1 E. MARTIN ESTRADA  
United States Attorney  
2 MACK E. JENKINS  
Assistant United States Attorney  
3 Chief, Criminal Division  
COLIN S. SCOTT (Cal. Bar No. 318555)  
4 Assistant United States Attorney  
General Crimes Section  
5 1200 United States Courthouse  
312 North Spring Street  
6 Los Angeles, California 90012  
Telephone: (213) 894-3159  
7 Facsimile: (213) 894-0141  
E-mail: colin.scott@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 DENIS GINCESCU and  
ION CEBOTAREAN,

16 Defendants.  
17

No. CR 2:23-00118-DMG

GOVERNMENT'S SENTENCING POSITION  
REGARDING DEFENDANT DENIS GINCESCU

**Sentencing**

Hearing Date: July 26, 2023  
Hearing Time: 3:00 p.m.

18  
19 Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel  
20 of record, the United States Attorney for the Central District of  
21 California and Assistant United States Attorney Colin S. Scott,  
22 hereby files its sentencing position regarding defendant DENIS  
23 GINCESCU.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1       The government's sentencing position is based upon the attached  
2 memorandum of points and authorities, the files and records in this  
3 case, the Modified Presentence Investigation Report, and any other  
4 evidence or argument that the Court may permit.

5  
6       Dated: July 19, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

7       E. MARTIN ESTRADA  
United States Attorney

8       MACK E. JENKINS  
9       Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Criminal Division

10  
11       \_\_\_\_\_  
      /s/  
COLIN S. SCOTT  
12       Assistant United States Attorneys

13       Attorneys for Plaintiff  
14       UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES****I. INTRODUCTION**

Defendant Denis Gincescu ("defendant") traveled to this country to commit fraud.

On March 1, 2023, defendant has his co-defendant possessed 36 stolen EBT accounts and their associated PINs without the knowledge or permission of the account holders. Accordingly, on June 14, 2023, defendant pled guilty to one count of possession of fifteen or more unauthorized access devices in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1029(a)(3) (Count 1) pursuant to a plea agreement filed with the court on June 8, 2023. (Dkt. 35 ("Plea Agreement").) The United States Probation & Pretrial Services Office ("USPO") issued a Modified Presentence Report ("MPSR") on July 10, 2023. (Dtk. 42, MPSR.) The MPSR recognized the parties' stipulation to an offense level of 10 and calculates a Criminal History Category of II, resulting in an advisory guideline range of 8 to 14 months. (Dtk. 42, MPSR.) The government does not object to the USPO's calculations of defendant's criminal history.

The government believes that a low-end Guidelines term of imprisonment adequately addresses the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), and requests that the Court impose a term of imprisonment of eight months; a three-year term of supervised release; and the mandatory \$100 special assessment.

**II. STATEMENT OF FACTS**

Defendant admitted to the following facts at his change of plea hearing and in the plea agreement. (MPSR ¶¶ 4-8; Plea Agreement ¶ 9.)

On March 1, 2023, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant knowingly and with intent to defraud, possessed

1 at least fifteen unauthorized access devices, specifically,  
2 approximately 36 financial account numbers, all issued to persons  
3 other than defendant with said possession affecting interstate and  
4 foreign commerce.

5 Specifically, defendant, working in concert with co-defendant  
6 Ion Cebotarean, utilized stolen Electronic Benefit Transfer ("EBT")  
7 account information and corresponding personal identification numbers  
8 ("PINs") to check the balance of at least 36 different EBT accounts  
9 not belonging to them. Rather, defendant knew that he, himself or  
10 working in concert with others, had obtained victims' EBT account  
11 information and PINs by placing "skimming" devices and cameras on  
12 ATMs or other point-of-sale devices to surreptitiously capture or  
13 record victims' account information and PINs. Defendant, himself or  
14 working in concert with others, then "cloned" the account information  
15 captured by the skimming devices onto debit, credit, or gift cards by  
16 altering the account information on those cards' magnetic stripes.  
17 Defendant then utilized the cloned cards, together with the  
18 surreptitiously captured PINs, to access victims' EBT accounts.  
19 These 36 different EBT accounts belonged to at least 10 or more  
20 victims.

21 When arrested, defendant and his co-conspirator possessed 36  
22 debit or gift cards that had been cloned with victims' account  
23 information that did not correspond to the account information  
24 printed on the face of the cards. Defendant did not have  
25 authorization to possess the victims' account information, and defendant  
26 knew that he did not have such authorization. Defendant's possession of  
27 the 36 cloned debit or gift cards all affected interstate or foreign  
28 commerce, and at all relevant times, defendant acted with the intent to

1 defraud.

2 **III. ARGUMENT**

3       The Court must impose a sentence that is sufficient, but not  
4 greater than necessary, to achieve the purposes set forth in 18  
5 U.S.C. § 3553(a). The Court shall consider "the nature and  
6 circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of  
7 the defendant." 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1). In addition, the Court  
8 should fashion a sentence that reflects the seriousness of the  
9 offense, promotes respect for the rule of law, provides just  
10 punishment for the offense, affords adequate deterrence to criminal  
11 conduct, and protects the public from future crimes of the defendant,  
12 among other considerations. 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2).

13       The government recommends that the defendant be sentenced to (1)  
14 eight months' imprisonment; (2) a three-year period of supervised  
15 release; and (3) the mandatory \$100 special assessment. Such a  
16 sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to achieve  
17 the purposes set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

18 **A. Nature and Circumstances of the Offense**

19       The Court must consider the nature, circumstances, and  
20 seriousness of the offense. 18 U.S.C. §§ 3553(a)(1), (a)(2)(A).  
21 Here, the government's proposed eight-month sentence reflects the  
22 seriousness of defendant's crime. Defendant played a small role in a  
23 larger scheme designed to steal public benefits by checking the  
24 balances of various EBT accounts and then relaying that information  
25 to other individuals. However, no actual losses were incurred, and  
26 it is unclear how much defendant personally benefited. This supports  
27 a low-range sentence.

1 The Government's low-range recommendation also reflects defendant's  
2 history and characteristics. Defendant is a Romanian national and  
3 has a limited criminal history in the United States. (MPSR ¶ 11.)  
4 However, Defendant does have a single prior felony conviction for  
5 theft, and thus falls within Criminal History Category II. (Id. ¶  
6 11.) Accordingly, an eight-month sentence would appropriately  
7 account for both the seriousness of the offense and defendant's  
8 history and characteristics.

9 **B. Need for Deterrence and to Promote Respect for the Law**

10 An eight-month sentence is also warranted to promote deterrence  
11 against individuals traveling to the United States in order to engage  
12 in fraud. Defendant traveled to this country from Romania and  
13 participated in a sophisticated and organized scheme to steal public  
14 funds. Accordingly, a custodial sentence is necessary to impart the  
15 seriousness of the offense both specifically to defendant and to the  
16 general public.

17 **C. Supervised Release**

18 A three-year term of supervised release would provide an "added  
19 measure of deterrence and protection," that is warranted under the  
20 facts of this case. See USSG § 5D1.1, comment. (n.5). In light of  
21 defendant's prior criminal history, the imposition of a three-year  
22 period of supervised release is appropriate. See USSG § 5D1.1,  
23 comment. (n.3(A), (B)) (noting factors to be considered in  
24 determining whether to impose a term of supervised release and  
25 stating that "[t]he court should give particular consideration to the  
26 defendant's criminal history (which is one aspect of the 'history and  
27 characteristics of the defendant'"). Despite his young age and  
28 foreign nationality, defendant already has a criminal history in the

1 United States. A three-year term of supervised release is thus  
2 appropriate to effectively protect the public from any of defendant's  
3 future crimes.

4 **IV. CONCLUSION**

5 For the foregoing reasons, the government respectfully  
6 recommends that defendant be sentenced to eight months' imprisonment,  
7 three years' supervised release, a \$100 special assessment, and no  
8 fine. The government submits that this sentence is "sufficient, but  
9 not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes enumerated in  
10 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)." 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).